

THE LANDIS SEWERAGE AUTHORITY
REGULAR MEETING
January 6, 2014

Pledge of Allegiance.

The regular meeting of the Landis Sewerage Authority was called to order by Chairman Errickson at 6:00 p.m. The following members were present at roll call: Villar, Merighi, Gana, Silva and Errickson were present.

The proper notice was given to all members in accordance with the by-laws.

Chairman Errickson stated that public notice of this meeting, pursuant to the Open Public Meeting Act, has been given in the following manner:

1. Posting written notice in the lobby entrance of the Authority.
2. Hand delivering notices to the offices of the Daily Journal and The Press.
3. Filing written notices in the offices of the Authority and City Clerk.

Also present: Dennis W. Palmer	Executive Director/Chief Engineer
Robert A. Schwarz	Field Engineer
A. Steven Fabietti	Solicitor
Carol A. Ricci	Executive Secretary

It was moved by Gana and seconded by Villar that the reading of the minutes of the regular meeting held on December 16, 2013 be dispensed with and the same be approved in the form submitted to all members by mail. Roll call: Villar, Merighi and Errickson. Gana and Silva abstained.

The Chairman asked if there were any general public comments or comments on the proposed Resolutions. There were none.

Resolutions:

It was moved by Silva and seconded by Gana that Resolution No. 2014-1, "Be it resolved by the Landis Sewerage Authority, that the following bills of items or demands are hereby approved and authorized for payment out of the Revenue Fund Revolving Payroll Account.....\$86,931.29", be adopted. Roll call: Villar, Merighi, Gana, Silva and Errickson voted "yes".

It was moved by Silva and seconded by Gana that Resolution No. 2014-2, "Be it resolved by the Landis Sewerage Authority, that the following bills of items or demands are hereby approved and authorized for payment out of the Revenue Fund Bills....\$347,882.46", be adopted. Roll call: Villar, Merighi, Gana, Silva and Errickson voted "yes".

It was moved Silva and seconded by Gana that Resolution No. 2014-3, “A Resolution of the Landis Sewerage Authority authorizing a contract with Inspira Occupational Health Services to perform annual DOT and required physicals not to exceed \$12,425.00...” be adopted. Roll call: Villar, Merighi, Gana, Silva and Errickson voted “yes”.

It was moved by Gana and seconded by Silva that Resolution No. 2014-4, “A Resolution of the Landis Sewerage Authority authorizing payment from the Construction Fund of certain costs of the system in the amount of \$22,526.65 to Garrison Enterprise, Inc. for labor, material and equipment to repair emergency sewer main break at Magnolia Road near McMahan Drive in accordance with the provisions of the Authority’s General Bond Resolution...” be adopted. Roll call: Villar, Merighi, Gana, Silva and Errickson voted “yes”.

It was moved by Gana and seconded by Silva that Resolution No. 2014-5, “A Resolution of the Landis Sewerage Authority designating the Daily Journal and Press of Atlantic City as the official newspapers...” be adopted. Roll call: Villar, Merighi, Gana, Silva and Errickson voted “yes”.

It was moved by Gana and seconded by Silva that Resolution No. 2014-6, “A Resolution of the Landis Sewerage Authority authorizing Contract Amendment No. 1 for the Non-Fair and Open Contract with Hatch Mott MacDonald Engineering Services for the Burns Avenue Sanitary Sewer Extension Project in the amount of \$8,300.00...” be adopted. Roll call: Villar, Merighi, Gana, Silva and Errickson voted “yes”.

Reports:

Executive Director

Dennis Palmer reported that as a follow up to the last meeting we had with the sub-committee of the Authority as well as with the City for our first cost sharing meeting. It was covered in the newspapers. The outcome of that was an agreement that was at the table. Members of the committee had a draft agreement and it was not released to the press but we discussed it. Last week I received a mark-up of the first round agreement from our special counsel. I suggested some changes back to him on Thursday or Friday and so we are in that process of cleaning up the agreement and to have a second follow-up meeting. We are still progressing on that matter.

Dennis also stated that we implemented a reserve fund and that was one of the recommendations coming out from Bond counsel. We have also cashed in one of the bonds we said we would cash under the transfer money to the City. The good news is the interest rate was higher than we could get today and because of that we sold it at a premium. We don’t pay taxes so we had an increase of about \$28,000 on that bond.

Dennis reported that we had a meeting today with Allied Specialty Food Products. They have been having problems with oil and grease leaving their facility and has actually

clogged our sewer line. We have had an ongoing directive with them to improve performance and they have improved. They just had some management changes and the two people we have been dealing with are not there anymore. There is a new president and a new quality assurance manager who I met with today and we presented a letter to him and gave him a briefing on what our history has been with him last year and brought him up to speed.

Dennis stated that we had a long conversation last week with the attorney for our insurance company with respect to the sewer collapse that happened on Magnolia Road. We tried to brief that attorney and update that there are things we do that are required by our DEP permit and rules, we inspect the sewer system and there was an inspection earlier in the same month that the sewer collapsed. The inspections from the surface level, looking into the manhole if you see stone and dirt, there were none. The Authority was acting prudently and doing its due diligence on its system but the collapse happened anyway. We also are also looking, not to do it in a shotgun manner but in a well thought out systematic manner, as part of the bond process we are looking to do in a couple of years. We will actually have an engineering company evaluate every one of the manholes that receives the flow from a force main especially the old ones. The new ones we have done since I have been here are a plastic liner inside the manhole and plastic pipe, both being corrosive resistant and won't be subject to the acid attack. Of the 26 pumping stations and force mains, several have that construction methodology but the old ones do not. Also the pipeline that failed is about 40 years old. You normally anticipate the life is at least 50 years. We have a detailed plan by our engineers to evaluate the plant, those lines and the last ten years of their expected life. We are moving forward on that and we will see how the chips will fall and see how the insurance company resolves everything. Their counsel's viewpoint is what coverage is going to be provided. We have been a little frustrated with the insurance company since this attorney had this matter on his desk for three weeks. Nonetheless there have been delays on their part. Some of the people that live out there have not been happy because they have bills to be paid, their own insurance has stepped up but our insurance company has been slow and we are a little unhappy with that.

Dennis stated that we have had a couple of meetings on the union contract and we are working with Steve's office on two personnel matters, that one first. We have met with the union first and we have gone back and forth with a couple of things we are looking for. Nicole on Steve's staff has been working on consolidating. We have two different contracts. An old contract that goes back to the 70's or 80's with the teamsters for plant personnel and just four or five years ago the contract was signed with the farm employees. We are looking to consolidate the two contracts into one. Nicole has been working and putting that verbiage together so we have one contract instead of two. She sent a letter out to the business agent giving him three days to meet next week and also there is a posting that we had to put up on labor regulations and there is a notice you have to post for the employees on the bulletin boards. The last thing is that we have been dealing with Nicole on a disability claim that may come back to the board in the near future.

Field Engineer

Bob Schwarz reported that the bypass connection at Magnolia Road and McMahon Drive was installed last week with two 12 inch valves one 8 inch valve and other appurtenances to install the bypass connector behind the curb. Television inspection is scheduled to be performed to determine extent of additional work.

The Taco Bell completed their sewer installation. We are awaiting as-builts and engineers certification (WQM-005) form.

The contractor completed the repair to the sewer lateral at 719 Park Avenue. LSA will be meeting with the contractor and property owner to discuss financial responsibility and remainder of work.

The Burns Avenue Sewer Extension contractor will be mobilizing either this week or next week. Construction is to start in approximately 10 days. Surveying was performed by the contractor last week.

Solicitor

Steven Fabietti reported that in connection with the Magnolia and McMahan sewer break, he prepared some release documentation for Dennis if the Authority has to pay any of those funds directly to the homeowners, that we have them sign off and release the Authority from any further claims. We need to make sure before we take that step we get the insurance to step up first so we are not doing something that might compromise our position with them.

Tom Merighi asked if that was for damages specifically such as property damage they incurred, like a reimbursement. Steve Fabietti stated that they had costs to clean and replace items that were damaged. That is the discussion with the insurance company.

Dennis stated that the interview was kind of adversarial. Dennis said he felt that he was getting deposed. Dennis stated that our agent is going to be talking to the company as well as he was on line with us.

Tom Merighi asked if we could expect a lawsuit. Dennis stated that we have Title 59 coverage. Steve Fabietti stated that generally speaking a public entity is immune from tort type negligence claims with certain exceptions and that is what we rely on. Dennis stated that we have a good neighbor policy too. Steve said if you are talking about a reasonably modest sum you might want to take care of it rather than going down that road.

Carol Ricci stated that Title 59 states that if the homeowner has coverage for sewer backup and it can be a \$5000 or \$10,000 endorsement, once those fees are paid by their

insurance company which must always come in first place, we are only responsible for the deductible and if we choose to we can pay the overages. It's just good public relations to look at that. We have absorbed all of the cleaning costs which were extensive. In one instance we had to have a honey wagon come to pump out the basement it was so bad. That is how we handle Title 59 and we need it because the users pay our fees and our salaries.

Steve Fabietti said that there was some discussion about an inquiry I received and subsequent to an inquiry he received about some interest in putting up billboards. Dennis stated that he wanted to have a discussion on that subject. Dennis also mentioned the other matters we are working on with the teamsters and an employee matter.

Chairman: No Report

Issues and Correspondence:

Prior to passing this motion, Dennis stated that we are seeking a motion to advertise for these services again but with an eye that some of these things may eventually be covered in the cost sharing program. Depending how things fall, a couple of these items are ripe for that cost sharing which would be laboratory services and the hypochlorite. The water utility uses both of those things as well as we do and I am not sure if they use the tablets. I have had some conversation with them. I just want to preface that some of these items may be rolled into the cost sharing agreement.

It was moved by Silva and seconded by Villar authorizing the Executive Director to advertise for bids for the following contracts: Hydrogen Peroxide, Laboratory Services, Sodium Hypochlorite, Calcium Hypochlorite Tablets and Solid Waste Disposal. Roll call: Villar, Merighi, Gana, Silva and Errickson voted "yes".

Dennis also reported that he wanted to deal with the issue the Solicitor brought up and that is the item with the billboards. Dennis stated that he received a phone call from Mr. Atkins who is with this firm and he had just had a meeting in the Mayor's office talking about bill boards and they are talking about billboards throughout the City as an advertisement. Some people are being enticed by the revenue of a \$1,000 or \$12,000 a year for different locations. These billboards are huge. They are not anything like you see along Delsea Drive now which are low on the ground. These are 50 to 70 feet in the air and they are of substantial size, 20 x 50 feet. They are as big as anything you see going to Atlantic City or across the Ben Franklin Bridge. They don't exist down here or along here or any location. There are some on 42 and they are also going into Atlantic City and this is about as big as anything you will see going into AC in height and size. There are immediate issues with the discussions with the City is that most of the Authority's land has a deed restriction from EPA. They would have to bless any leases, sales, transfers or anything like what we did with the City on the solar panels. The solar panel project we were dealing with another public agency and we were doing something that made environmental sense. That still took months with my foot to the pedal to get a release

letter from EPA to allow the project. I don't frankly see billboards, which are in a way, visual pollution as you drive and the EPA would sign off on something like that.

Tom Merighi asked if Dennis saw them having a problem signing off and Dennis stated that he saw them having a big problem. We once dealt with DEP when Dennis first came to the Authority. There was an issue on part of our property along Sherman Avenue. The County has a yard there and the County wanted to lease some of the land for parking highway equipment and DEP was not too happy with that and that DEP and EPA. They really weren't supportive of making money from that because a parking lot in their mind is not an environmental thing. A billboard is kind of like a parking lot. It's not environmental and we have worked 20 years to be environmental stewards with our tours and different agencies and schools, we have kind of worked on an LSA brand of being environmental stewards of our land. Some of the support we have gotten from the environmental groups is an award hanging in the Authority from Jane Galetto's group Citizens United and the Litorial Society came out to speak at the Council meeting in support of the Authority. Would supporting billboards cause those groups to evaporate in their support? I am just putting these out for discussion tonight, they were in the memo. Dennis stated that his other concern was something that is near and dear to his heart is when you were going off is the Chamber of Commerce, while they supported it, I look at Dondero's as an example. The smaller bill boards that are up are about 15 x 20. Immediately next to their business is some other jeweler asking you to come to their place and you see that with diners and restaurants. From the Chamber's standpoint would these signs encourage these people to shop in Deptford or Atlantic City? Would it pull business away, not to make Vineland a designation? These are fairly expensive rentals especially the LED ones that change. Would it encourage people to not shop here but actually compete with shopping that goes on here and encourage people to buy cars at Kerbeck rather than here? Would it encourage people to go to Deptford for restaurants and something as simple as the Malaga Diner and Pegasus Diner, would they advertise here to pull stuff away from the Cosmopolitan. Those are some thoughts I have as past Chamber president. Dennis stated that Steve and he talked from other legal aspects as a public agency first, why would you take the first guy's offer. There is another very large sign company Clear Channel. The other side is the cumulative effect. They looked at three locations where the cumulative income is above the public threshold even with us having a certified purchasing manager. You would most likely have to go out to bid. There are a lot wrinkles and I see an issue with the brand that we developed. Would those people who look at us as being environmental leaders and stewards like us putting up monster signs and these are 70 feet tall, 50 feet across is huge. It is as big as anything you have seen going to Atlantic City. Are we going to look like Pottersville in "It's A Wonderful Life" with the neon flashing lights? Other people may go for it. Do you turn Vineland into Pottersville with these things and there are traffic issues. There is probably no conclusive report that says, yes LED changing billboard at 50 x 20, somebody is going to look at it while they are driving and when it changes they are going to look at it. If you go south of 95 just north of Philly, Sprint or someone else put a sign up that says this is how much money you could save with us and there is a giant odometer up to millions of dollars and the numbers are changing. If you were listening to KYW, the first week that went up there were traffic jams because people were watching the numbers as they were

driving south on 95 and they were having traffic issues at a time they normally did not have traffic issues. Just being the devil's advocate, the biggest issue for us is the EPA issue and second one is they want one down on Orchard. They are not only looking to 55 but they are looking to put them in the middle of town on a piece of property along Orchard has two streams that come together that are C1 waters which require 300 foot buffers so with the two streams you have 600 feet total buffer. Dennis stated that months ago he told Atkins to do a title search and see where we stand on any of these properties where we sit and the deed restrictions and if you have an environmental person on board, there is mapping with DEP that shows C1 water boundaries if it even fits in those spots. Those are some of the thoughts I want to throw on the table for discussion and we need to hear from the board. He has reached out to Steve and called me twice one month ago and one just last week. Dennis stated that he said he was bringing it to the Board for discussion tonight. Do we sell our soul for \$36,000 or even if it's viable because I don't see EPA looking at giant billboards as an environmental positive on land that they helped buy. Some of the triggers may be they want the money back for the value of the land.

Jose Silva asked what the board should do tonight and Dennis replied he would like to know what the Commissioners think. Jose said he had a few questions such as do we have any zoning or planning issues that would affect us and Dennis replied that our zoning is one that is compatible and we are an I4 zone making us somewhat of a target. Mr. Silva asked how many years are they asking to do this and what happens at the end of the term of the lease. Dennis stated that it is covered in the agreement and every 5 years it rolls you get a higher value and it goes out almost 25 years and it may even go beyond that. There is a pro forma chart laid out and it incrementally goes up. As a business person you don't want to take the first guy's offer and there is a pro forma and an escalator after the last year it goes up 1% each year. Jose asked what liability do we have if this thing comes down and kills somebody.

Steve Fabietti stated that we would require them to have insurance and hold harmless the Authority but that doesn't mean that someone is not going to make a claim directly against the Authority. Dennis stated that what if someone says they had an accident because they saw the sign and looked up and someone was putting his break lights on in front of me and I hit him. Steve said the Authority would get sued and you can't make any guarantees.

Tom Merighi said he had a couple of random thoughts and not in any designed order because we are just hearing about this. He stated that he has a friend with a business on 55 and the City would not even let him put the name of his business facing 55 with a small letter on his building and of course we have no control over that. Next I have to tell you I am very pro-business and pro be loyal buy local but I've never looked at a billboard in my life and thought to myself, the person whose land that billboard is on is not environmentally conscious so I am thinking from a public relations point of view I think there are ways we can overcome that part. The most important potentially being that any monies that we got from this company we could use for environmental whatever. We could plant trees and do all kinds of things that if these groups were upset, we could make them pretty happy including potentially donating to them. Also I think if we are worried

about them being upset let's call them and ask what they think about this. What if we were to do ABC and D what do you think about it now? These are all easy things we can do.

Dennis stated that he had a conversation with the Litorial Society folks. Tom stated that the LED sign in negotiations we could probably control somewhat through the agreement what was on there so if we did not want certain types of businesses we could probably control that and we may even be able to negotiate a sign for ourselves if we had a message that drivers might benefit from.

Francis Gana asked what the executive director would like to do and Dennis Palmer stated that he was looking for input from the Board. Tom has some ideas and Mr. Gana asked what Dennis' personal feeling are. Dennis stated that his personal feeling is that when he drives down 42 he sees the signs for Atlantic City and he kind of likes the wide open vistas of driving down 55. When you go by the Glassboro area and Clayton in the spring the apple trees are coming out. Mr. Gana stated that it lowers the value of the area. Dennis stated that visual aside; the City has its own hurdles to deal with. It has not gone through zoning and planning at this point. It has to go to City Council for approval. There would be variances in many locations. We are one of the few that have the right zoning and that is why we are being targeted.

Steve Fabietti stated that as Mr. Merighi said probably DOT would have a say in that too. Dennis stated that you see a number of them along 42 as you travel around Runnemede to Winslow and then there aren't until you get near Atlantic City. Some people don't like them. I guess my concern is the Chamber's side because I see it in my own town. They strategically try to buy stuff next to their competitor.

Tom Merighi also stated that the only last thought is that it is not so much for LSA but for the City of Vineland. I know that there have been many times that I thought about 55 and we thought it was going to Vineland's saving grace but we have is a lot of people going through Vineland and not stopping in our town to do anything. Tom also stated that when we ran the seafood festival in Vineland he would love to have had a way to get these folks coming back from the shore on a Sunday or down the shore on a Friday to make the turn down Landis or Sherman. We talk about cost sharing and savings with the City how awesome would it be if we were able to offer the City a mechanism through a sign that could get people to come to our town.

Carlos Villar asked are we the only game in town or are they looking at or will another group allow them and they will make the \$36,000. It may happen no matter what we do and we may miss out on the ability to pick up \$36,000 a year. Dennis said if that is the right number. Carlos Villar said that looking at it from strictly a business point of view, not environmental but just for the money. And like Tommy, I'm not for or against it, we haven't really explored it that far.

Dennis stated that he only knew what he read in the newspaper is that some people who specifically want to have prohibitions on their property such as strip joints or porno

places, stuff you don't want advertised. Dennis said he will have to do his own title search to make sure it doesn't have the EPA restriction and if it does, I think it's dead because the EPA is not going to sign off on a 100 sq. foot billboard. Tom Merighi stated that DOT might really be the other big hurdle. Dennis stated they had two spots on our property. We own from Chestnut to Sherman on both sides of 55 and it is all zoned right. Some of it could be wetlands. That is why we are targeted and we would never take the guy's first offer and Steve and I had some conversations that I think we would have to bid it and that aside they are probably lining up places to go to. They still have to get an ordinance introduced for zoning, plus approval from zoning and planning and then it would come to Council for them to adopt an ordinance. Some people who are going to get the income, their neighbors are not going to like it if you are looking up at this thing if you are a neighbor. On Orchard there are a lot of neighbors. Jose's whole neighborhood is across the street. He will see that sign and it will keep him awake at night. The two other ones are along 55.

Steve Errickson stated that his first reaction is a knee jerk reaction. I'm really not in favor of it. The reason being I am an outdoors guy, I like hunting, fishing and I like to ride down 55 and I think it's pretty. I have always stayed in this area and I have had opportunities to move north where there is concrete and asphalt and signs and I could have made more money but I chose to stay here. I could have gone to Philly or New York and I chose to stay here and I picked Vineland when I built my house and I looked at the shore and all over and I was already living in Vineland. I stayed in Vineland because I liked the schools, the people, the country setting and I like the slower theme or pace here.

I go to Cherrystone Virginia almost every year. I've been going there over 20 years and when I first started going we went down old Route 13 and I remember that ride down there and it was real nice. Over that 20 some year period I watched it grow and now I take Route 1 and I bypass most of 13 but every now and then I'll get off and go down Route 13. The difference between when I first started going and now is unbelievable. There are signs all over and it is so commercial you feel like you are in a carnival and they have really overdone it. So I have some negative feelings about it and I would hate to see it. A couple of billboards aren't going to do that but if when we start, over time it will grow like mushrooms and 55 will be full of it. I know that times change and you have to go with it sometimes, but that is the feeling I get. On the other hand I realize it is revenue and as hard as times are we are all looking to make revenue, balance the budget and help everybody out. Dennis you brought up a real good point about who is going to be advertising there and there is a possibility it would deter and take business away from Vineland. I hear Tommy say maybe we can do something to bring in people going back and forth to the shore, but when I go to Cherrystone there are a lot of signs that say stop here and stop there. I only stop if I have to. Those signs don't lure me in. I am not going to stop my trip just to go to something so I stop when I have to. I don't know if that would work. We also talked about the things we would definitely not want on billboards. We talked about things we would want. I think we need to maybe engage in a conversation. Definitely the first offer is not the best offer. They are going to go as low as they can go and they are maybe in the ballpark and you know there is a lot more money there than what they are saying. It would really have to be negotiated to our advantage. It

has to be to our advantage, meaning Vineland and Cumberland County. We have to make sure we are real smart about it and we have some real good and smart attorneys here and really negotiate so we can keep control of it. The worst thing you could have is an advertisement for Delilah's Den or something like that. Look into it, it doesn't hurt, but I would want to really keep control of it and make sure it's what we would want and what we would be proud of and we would get enough money for it.

Dennis asked Steve Fabietti if he had any other clients that were approached without giving names that are landowners. Steve replied yes. Dennis asked if he knew how many. Steve stated a couple of people that he was aware of but he did not know how many sites. They are actively seeking locations in town.

Dennis stated that we should let them come in and talk asking Steve if he wanted to sit in on the conversation. We'll set a meeting up but there are potential limitations on the land and public bidding thresholds that it has to be bid.

Mr. Gana stated that we have to take into consideration if this is beneficial overall. Do the assets outweigh the liabilities? Mr. Gana stated that he is not certain in this situation.

Steve Errickson stated that it doesn't hurt to talk.

Committee Reports:

Engineering/Plant

Dennis Palmer stated that it is quiet right now and we are waiting for Burns Avenue to start and Taco Bell. Dennis stated that it was interesting since we had the manhole behind the curb and City Planning and engineers moved it in front of the curb which led to massive traffic jams and they also hit a gas line which shut down Landis Avenue.

Mr. Gana asked if it would be done in two or three months. Dennis stated that this work that has happened already and he does not know what the time frame is as far as the building. Planning and engineering pushing them out into the street actually caused a disaster because of Christmas shopping because you had ShopRite, Walmart, the Bank and all of that getting jammed together moving it down to one lane and actually shutting everything down when they hit a gas line.

We also got the information in on the plant and collection system and some of the information on our collection system we used on our phone conference with the insurance company. Walter is upgrading some plans but it is quiet on the engineering and plant side.

Budget/Finance

Dennis reported that it is the New Year. We don't have our P and L done yet. Selling that bond off in transferring our funds to the City, we hit the annual calculation we have to do

for coverage. If you don't do that it becomes a comment in the audit, but if you go out for bonding in the near future, this is one of the things they look for if you don't have adequate coverage you're going to pay for it in the interest rate. We'll see when the numbers are done. One of the auditors was here last week and they may be getting ready to do the inventory side of the audit.

Human Resources

Dennis reported that two meetings ago, I put a posting up for two positions in the plant. That closed today. There are a number of employees that responded to that and I would not be surprised that one or two from the farm come over so we will have to go and advertise for the farm. Maybe we should ask for permission now to advertise for the farm positions. These are entry positions and over the years we have found some good people there and they transfer over to this side of the plant. We need a motion to authorize an advertisement for any vacant farm positions. It was moved by Silva and seconded by Villar. Roll call: Villar, Merighi, Gana, Silva and Errickson voted "yes".

Jose Silva asked going back to the signage on 55, do we need to do a motion for that. Dennis stated that there is no action at this point. Steve and Dennis are going to start a discussion. At some point there will be a formal motion to put together a bidding document and ask for pricing on a competitive pricing basis. Jose asked if we needed a motion authorizing entry into some type of communication? Dennis stated no. We are not committing to anything.

Dennis also reported that we have union negotiations and one employee on a disability issue.

Public Relations

No Report at this time except we will be working on the Earth Day Poster contest and we did have a meeting on cost sharing and the press was invited and they attended.

Insurance Committee: No Report

Allocations/Administration: No Report

Old Business: None

New Business: None

Adjournment:

At 6:46 p.m. there being no further business to come before the Board, it was moved by Gana and seconded by Silva that the meeting be adjourned. Roll call: Villar, Merighi, Gana, Silva and Errickson voted "yes".

THE LANDIS SEWERAGE AUTHORITY

FRANCIS A. GANA, Secretary